

Proposal Title :	Mid-Western Regional Local E Wyoming Road, Stubbo	nvironmental Plan 2012 - Rezo	one land at part Lot 1 DP 841458		
Proposal Summary			8 Wyoming Road, Stubbo from 100 ential, to permit subdivision of the		
PP Number :	PP_2015_MIDWR_005_00	Dop File No :	15/12699		
roposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	07-Sep-2015	LGA covered :	Mid-Western Regional		
Region :	Western	RPA :	Mid-Western Regional Council		
State Electorate :	ORANGE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
_ocation Details					
Street : W	yoming				
Suburb : St	ubbo City :	Mudgee	Postcode : 2850		
Land Parcel : Pa	rt Lot 1 DP 841458				
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Jessica Holland				
Contact Number :	0268412180				
Contact Email :	jessica.holland@planning.nsw.	gov.au			
RPA Contact Deta	ails				
Contact Name :	Heath Dennerley				
Contact Number :	0263782815				
Contact Email :	heath.dennerley@midwestern.r	nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mana	iger Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey				
Contact Number :	0268412180				
Contact Email :	wayne.garnsey@planning.nsw.	gov.au			
Land Release Dat	a				
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :			
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :			

#### Mid-Western Regional Local Environmental Plan 2012 - Rezone land at part Lot 1 DP 841458 Wyoming Road. Stubbo

841458 Wyoming Road, Stubbo				
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο			
If Yes, comment :				
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :				
External Supporting Notes :				
Adequacy Assessmer	nt			
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)			
Is a statement of the ot	ojectives provided? Yes			
Comment	The planning proposal clearly land at part Lot 1 DP 841458 W Residential, to excise an exist	lyoming Road, Stubbo and t		
Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)				
Is an explanation of pro	ovisions provided? Yes			
Comment	The explanation of provisions be achieved by amending Lot LZN_005.		tive of the planning proposal will d Land Zoning Map Sheet	
Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)			

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

A

1.2 Rural Zones

\* May need the Director General's agreement

1.5 Rural Lands

- 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

#### Mid-Western Regional Local Environmental Plan 2012 - Rezone land at part Lot 1 DP 841458 Wyoming Road, Stubbo

# SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	1.2 Rural Zones: The Ministerial Direction is relevant as the planning proposal seeks to rezone land within an existing rural zone and amend the minimum lot size on the land. The Direction requires that the land is not amended from a rural zone to a residential zone and not contain provisions that increase the permissible density of the land. The planning proposal is inconsistent with the Direction. However, the land is identified in the Mid-Western Regional Comprehensive Land Use Strategy (CLUS) as an opportunity for long term release of land for rural lifestyle development; the inconsistency with the Direction is justified by an endorsed strategy.
	1.5 Rural Lands: The Ministerial Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal seeks to rezone land within an existing rural zone and amend the minimum lot size on the land. The Direction requires consistency with the Rural Planning Principles and Rural Subdivision Principles of SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008. The Planning Proposal is inconsistent with the Principles in respect of the importance of rural lands and agriculture, rural land fragmentation and land use conflict. The inconsistency is justified by the CLUS which identifies the land as a long term opportunity area for the purpose of rural lifestyle development, and an existing dual occupancy is situated on the land.
	2.1 Environment Protection Management: The Ministerial Direction applies to protect and conserve environmentally sensitive areas. The Direction is relevant to the planning proposal as the subject land is identified on the LEP Groundwater Vulnerability Map as groundwater vulnerable and the Sensitivity Biodiversity Map as high biodiversity sensitivity (a small portion of the land is identified). The planning proposal does not alter the existing provisions of the LEP for protection and conservation of environmentally sensitive areas. The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction.
	3.1 Residential Zones: The Ministerial Direction is relevant as the planning proposal affects land within a proposed residential zone; the subject land is proposed to be zone R5 Large Lot Residential. The proposal seeks to broaden the choice of housing types available in the area and to make efficient use of the infrastructure and services in the locality, provided to support adjoining residential development to the north of the land. The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction.
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport: The Ministerial Direction is relevant as the planning proposal alters the minimum lot size provisions. The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction.
	SEPP 44 Koala Habitat Protection: The SEPP is relevant as the subject site is identified as potential koala habitat. The planning proposal outlines that prior to any development assessment, an assessment will be conducted as to the presence of core koala habitat and if necessary, a plan of management shall be prepared. This is acceptable.
	SEPP 55 Remediation of Land: The planning proposal has considered past land uses and identified that there are no known potential contaminants which would prevent future development of the site, noting a dual occupancy exists on the land. There is no
	further work required for this matter as part of the planning proposal.
	SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008: The planning proposal must be consistent with the Rural Planning Principles contained within the SEPP as the rezoning effects land that is zone RU1 Primary Production. The planning proposal is inconsistent with a number of principles, as discussed above, but is justified by the endorsed Mid-Western CLUS.
Have inconsistencies with	n items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? <b>Yes</b>

If No, explain :

## Mid-Western Regional Local Environmental Plan 2012 - Rezone land at part Lot 1 DP 841458 Wyoming Road, Stubbo

s mapping provided?	Yes	
Comment :	Adequate locality maps are included in the planning proposal to identify the subject land.	
community consul	tation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consu	Itation been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	The planning proposal shall be publicly exhibited for a period of 28 days.	
dditional Director	r General's requirements	
Are there any addition	al Director General's requirements? <b>No</b>	
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy o	of the proposal	
Does the proposal me	et the adequacy criteria? <b>Yes</b>	
If No, comment :	The planning proposal is adequate in the information provided.	
Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Mid-Western Regional Environmental Plan 2012 was notified on 10 August 2012.	
Assessment Criter	ia	
Need for planning proposal :	lanning The planning proposal is required to permit subdivision of the land.	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The endorsed Comprehensive Land Use Strategy (CLUS) identifies the land is subject to opportunities for long term rural lifestyle development, suitable for lots of 12 hectares zone R5 Large Lot Residential.	
	The subject allotment is approximately 111 hectares in size and currently has a minimum lot size of 100 hectares. The planning proposal states that the sought reduction to the minimum lot size (to 12 hectares) is to provide an opportunity to subdivide the existing dual occupancy development situated on the land.	

## Mid-Western Regional Local Environmental Plan 2012 - Rezone land at part Lot 1 DP 841458 Wyoming Road, Stubbo

	Assessment Process	5				
	Proposal type :	Consistent		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
	Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation	RPA	
	Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Other				
	Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
	(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
	If no, provide reasons :					
	Resubmission - s56(2)(b	): <b>No</b>				
	If Yes, reasons :					
	Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :				
	If Other, provide reasons	3:				
	Identify any internal cons					
	No internal consultation	required				
	Is the provision and fund	ing of state infrastructure	e relevant i	to this plan? <b>No</b>		
	If Yes, reasons :					
Do	Documents					
	Document File Name			DocumentType Nar	ne	Is Public
Pla	anning Team Recom	nendation				
	Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported a	at this stage	e : Recommended with Cond	litions	
	S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Pro 3.1 Residential Zone 3.4 Integrating Land	S			
	Additional Information :	-		equired under sections 56(2) ssessment Act 1979 (EP&A /		
		days as described in Infrastructure 2013).	A Guide 1	quired to be made publicly a to Preparing LEPs (Departme prity must comply with the no	ent of Planning and	ł
			-	Is and the specifications for		

Mid-Western Regional Local Environmental Plan 2012 - Rezone land at part Lot 1 DP	
841458 Wyoming Road, Stubbo	

041450 Wyonning Roa			
	publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs.		
	2.Consultation is required with the following under Section 56 (2)(d) of the EP&A Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant section 117 Directions:		
	(a) Australian Rail Track Corporation		
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the planning proposal prior to community consultation.		
	3.A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).		
	4.Prior to submission of the planning proposal under Section 59 of the EP&A Act, the LEP maps must be prepared and be compliant with the Department's 'Standard Technical Requirements for LEP maps'.		
	5.The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.		
Supporting Reasons	The planning proposal seeks to permit use of a portion of the land for rural residential purposes, an existing dual occupancy is situated on the land.		
The planning proposal is supported by the endorsed Mid-Western Regional Comprehensive Land Use Strategy and will provide a rural residential development opportunity on the land and this locality.			
Signature:	Alland		
Printed Name:	Jessica Holland Date: 21 September 2015		
Endorsed Wgannicog TLWR 21	19/15		

21